

## THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL

PAUL HOUCHENS DIRECTOR EDWARD C. TIERNEY
DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT/CHIEF OF SCHOOLS

GLENDA SHEFFIELD, Ed.D.
CHIEF ACADEMIC OFFICER

ADAM MILLER, Ph.D.
ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT,
PERFORMANCE ACCOUNTABILITY

RESEARCH & EVALUATION
3300 FOREST HILL BOULEVARD, B-246
WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33406

PHONE: 561-434-8469 / Fax: 561-357-7611

WWW.PALMBEACHSCHOOLS.ORG

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

February 23, 2022

TO:

School Board Members

THROUGH: Michael J. Burke, Superintendent

FROM:

Edward C. Tierney, Deputy Superintendent/Chief of Schools

Glenda Sheffield, Ed.D., Chief Academic Officer 45

Adam Miller, Ph.D., Assistant Superintendent, Performance Accountability

SUBJECT: KEY FINDINGS FROM PREDICTING GRADUATION RATES USING STUDENT LEVEL INDICATORS

The District's Strategic Plan's Long-Term Outcome 3 (LTO3) is to increase the graduation rate to 90%. As of the FY21 graduating class, the District obtained a 91.0% overall graduation rate and 95.9% graduation rate for District-operated schools.<sup>1</sup> For students to successfully graduate, we must ensure they meet educational milestones on their way to graduation. To support this effort, Research & Evaluation has completed an annual analysis of several key leading indicators of graduation success. The key findings from the FY21 Graduation Cohort revealed the following:

- Considering the impact of retention, the graduation rate for students who entered Kindergarten during FY09 and subsequently entered Grade 9 on time was 94%;
  - 18 percentage points higher than those students who entered Grade 9 one year late (Grade 1 during FY10 76%);
  - 65 percentage points higher than students who entered Grade 9 two years late (Grade 2 during FY11 - 29%).<sup>2</sup>
- Over the past five years, the graduation rate for the on-time students increased (+3 percentage points) as did the graduation rates for students entering Grade 9 one year (+10 percentage points) or two years late (+3 percentage points). These increases predominately occurred with the FY19 graduating class for the late-entering Grade 9 students.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It should be noted that due to COVID-19, assessment requirements for graduation were waived for FY20 and FY21 seniors who had yet to meet them.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Total number of students entering Grade 9 two years late was small, n=33.

- The graduation rate for students scoring Level 3 or above (95%)<sup>3</sup> on the Grade 3 Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) Reading assessment was 8 percentage points higher than students who scored at Level 1 or 2 (87%).<sup>4</sup>
- Graduation rates improved by 9 percentage points over the five-year period for students scoring Level 1 or 2 on the Grade 3 FCAT.
- The graduation rate for students scoring Level 3 or above (97%)<sup>5</sup> on the Grade 8 FSA ELA was 12 percentage points higher than students who scored a Level 1 or 2 (85%).<sup>6</sup>
- Across the five years, students scoring Level 1 or 2 on the Grade 8 FSA ELA increased graduation rates by 7 percentage points.
- The graduation rate of students who were not suspended during Grade 8 (94%) was:
  - o 14 percentage points higher than students suspended only once (80%) during Grade 8;
  - 31 percentage points higher than students suspended two or more times (63%)<sup>7</sup> during Grade
     8.
  - o Graduation rates among students suspended 3 or more times decreased by 3 percentage points between the FY20 and FY21 cohorts.
- The graduation rate of students who were absent 10 or fewer days during Grade 8 (93%) was:
  - o 7 percentage points higher than students absent 11-20 days (86%) during Grade 8;
  - o 24 percentage points higher than students absent 21 or more days (69%) during Grade 8.
- The graduation rate for students with a Grade 9 GPA of
  - o at least 2.0 (97%)8 was:
    - 37 percentage points higher than the graduation rate of students who had Grade 9 GPAs less than 2.0 (60%).
  - o 2.0 to 2.9 (93%) was:
    - 33 percentage points higher than the graduation rate of students with Grade 9 GPAs less than 2.0 (60%).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This percentage is calculated by dividing 5235, which is the total of all graduates with Grade 3 FCAT Achievement Levels 3, 4, and 5 (i.e., the sum of 2135, 2081, 1019), by 5490, which is the total seniors in FY21 Graduation Cohort with Grade 3 FCAT Achievement Levels 3, 4, and 5. The FCAT was administered through FY14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This percentage is calculated by dividing 3269, which is the total of all graduates with Grade 3 FCAT Achievement Levels 1 and 2 (i.e., the sum of 1005 and 2264), by 3767, which is the total seniors in FY21 Graduation Cohort with FCAT Achievement Levels 1 and 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This percentage is calculated by dividing 6353, which is the total of all graduates with Grade 8 FSA ELA Achievement Levels 3, 4, and 5 (i.e., the sum of 2636, 2139 and 1578), by 6541, which is the total seniors in FY21 Graduation Cohort with Grade 8 FSA ELA Achievement Levels 3, 4, and 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This percentage is calculated by dividing 4083, which is the total of all graduates with Grade 8 FSA ELA Achievement Levels 1 and 2 (i.e., the sum of 1816 and 2267), by 4804, which is the total seniors in FY21 Graduation Cohort with Grade 8 FSA ELA Achievement Levels 1 and 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> This percentage is calculated by dividing 362, which is the total of all graduates with 2 times suspended in Grade 8 (i.e., the sum of 207 and 155), by 573, which is the total seniors in FY21 Graduation Cohort with 2 times suspended in their Grade 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> This percentage is calculated by dividing 10897, which is the total of all graduates with GPA of 2.0-2.9 and GPA of 3.0 or more in Grade 9 (i.e., the sum of 4263 and 6634), by 11276, which is the total seniors in FY21 Graduation Cohort with GPA of 2 while in Grade 9.

- o at least 3.0 (99%) was:
  - 39 percentage points higher than the graduation rate of students with Grade 9 GPAs less than 2.0 (60%).
- The graduation rate of students with GPAs less than 2.0 in Grade 9 increased by 4 percentage points across the five-year period.

To further increase graduation rates for all students, school staffs should review the academic, behavior, and engagement status of their students to identify areas for focused support and intervention. Research & Evaluation's Education Data Warehouse (EDW) provides several resources to assist staff:

- The Principal and Principal Supervisor Dashboard (EDW Report RXOOA0636) is a resource that identifies
  areas of concern at the school level; any identified areas can be followed with detailed reports of
  students highlighting their specific needs. Detailed reports that are available include:
  - Attendance (RASOA0054),
  - Discipline (RDSOA0044),
  - o Graduation Status (RSSOA0034),
  - o Potential Students for Graduation Rate (RXSOA0438),
  - Elementary Grades New Scale (RGTOX0232),
  - Secondary by Grade (RGSOA0086),
  - o ELA Profile (Most Recent) (RTSOR0036), and
  - Math Profile (Most Recent) (RTSOM0037).
- These and other applicable reports should be reviewed periodically, beginning early in the academic year, to alert schools as issues arise. Information from the EDW reports should be reviewed by school staff to develop action plans for mitigating deficiencies that may prevent high school graduation.

For questions regarding these results, please contact Paul Houchens, Director, Research & Evaluation at 561-434-8780 or Dr. Adam Miller, Assistant Superintendent, Performance Accountability at 561-434-8851.

MJB/ET/GS/AM/PH/RC/RW:wl Attachment

Academic and Operating Chiefs
 Regional/Instructional Superintendents
 Assistant Superintendents
 Academic Directors
 Principals

	100
$\Xi$	No.
2	
	走道
유	9.54
00	
3	
0	100
_	STATE OF
2	
-	10 N
	1015
	DOM:
	3 40
-	
0	発展
-	
S	JEK
=	
-	*
3	5
0	0
U	ĕ
	a
O	Ü
=	
O	۳
10	-
đi	Sec.
-	(I)
O	Š
8	O.
ion Rates and Counts for FY17 Through	
5	
9	ent
-	4
O	#
duat	9
70	
ĕ	ᄶ
	91
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
(5	4
ō	Ħ
<u>5</u>	ent
10 OC	rent
iool Gi	ferent
hool G	fferent
school G	<b>Jifferent</b>
School G	Different
h School G	h Different
gh School Gi	th Different
ligh School Gi	ith Different
High School G	with Different
s High School G	with Different
's High School G	d with Different
ct's High School G	ed with Different
ict's High School G	ated with Different
trict's High School G	iated with Different
strict's High School G	ciated with Different
istrict's High School G	ociated with Different
District's High School Gradu	sociated with Different
District's High School G	ssociated with Different
ol District's High School G	<b>Associated with Different</b>
ool District's High School G	<b>Associated with Different</b>
nool District's High School G	Associated with Different
chool District's High School G	Associated with Different
School District's High School G	Associated with Different
/ School District's High School G	Associated with Different
ty School District's High School G	Associated with Different
nty School District's High School G	Associated with Different
ınty School District's High School G	Associated with Different
punty School District's High School G	Associated with Different
County School District's High School G	Associated with Different
County School District's High School G	Associated with Different
h County School District's High School G	Associated with Different
ch County School District's High School G	Associated with Different
ach County School District's High School G	Associated with Different
each County School District's High School G	Associated with Different
Seach County School District's High School G	Associated with Different
Beach County School District's High School G	Associated with Different
n Beach County School District's High School G	Associated with Different
Im Beach County School District's High School G	Associated with Different
alm Beach County School District's High School G	Associated with Different
Palm Beach County School District's High School G	Associated with Different
Palm Beach County School District's High School G	Associated with Different
Palm Beach County School District's High School G	Associated with Different

	FY17	2	FY18	8	FY19	6	FY20	6	FY21	1	One-	Five-	Mean
Determine (for all chindonte from the cohort ownelled)	1	/0	N	/0	2	/0	•	/0	7	70	Year	Year	Annual
netention (for an statemes from the conort enrolled)	W	8	N	8	M	ę		ę	N S	8	Change (	Change	Change
Students in Kindergarten who entered grade 9 on time	6,498	91%	6,831	95%	6,937	95%	966'9	94%	7,014	94%	%0	3%	1%
Students in 1st Grade who entered grade 9 one year late	881	%99	806	%69	973	75%	931	78%	998	%92	-5%	10%	7%
Students in 2nd Grade who entered grade 9 two years late	18	%97	19	78%	16	37%	6	76%	9	78%	3%	3%	1%
	FY17		FY18	8	FY19	6	FY20		FY21	1	One-	Five-	Mean
											Year	Year	Annual
3rd Grade FCAT (for all students in 3rd Grade)	2	%	2	%	N	%	N	%	Z	%	Change (	Change	Change
Of the N 3rd Grade students who scored a Level 1 on FCAT Reading	781	74%	949	77%	1,100	%08	875	85%	1,005	82%	%0	%8	2%
Of the N 3rd Grade students who scored a Level 2 on FCAT Reading	916	85%	1,040	%98	986	%98	1,041	%68	2,264	%68	%0	1%	7%
Of the N 3rd Grade students who scored Levels 1 and 2 on FCAT Reading	1,697	%82	1,989	81%	2,086	83%	1,916	%98	3,269	81%	1%	%6	7%
Of the N 3rd Grade students who scored a Level 3 on FCAT Reading	2,915	%06	2,727	91%	2,767	91%	2938	93%	2135	94%	1%	4%	1%
Of the N 3rd Grade students who scored a Level 4 on FCAT Reading	2,603	%96	2,728	%96	2,860	%96	2834	%26	2081	%96	-1%	%0	%0
Of the N 3rd Grade students who scored a Level 5 on FCAT Reading	694	%26	734	%86	760	%66	608	%26	1019	%86	1%	1%	%0
Of the N 3rd Grade students who scored Level 3 or above on FCAT Reading	5,653	93%	6,212	93%	6,189	94%	6,387	94%	5,235	82%	1%	3%	1%
	FY17		FY18	8	FY19	6	FY20		FY21	1	One-	Five-	Mean
											Year	Year	Annual
5th Grade FCAT (for all students in 5th Grade)	2	%	2	%	2	%	2	%	2	%	Change (	Change	Change
Of the N 5th Grade students who scored a Level 1 on FCAT Reading	896	72%	1,079	%92	1,100	77%	1,096	83%	1,076	81%	-5%	%6	2%
Of the N 5th Grade students who scored a Level 2 on FCAT Reading	1,137	83%	1,239	%98	2,018	%88	2,108	%06	2,002	%68	-1%	%9	1%
Of the N 5th Grade students who scored a Level 3 on FCAT Reading	2,868	92%	2,919	%76	2,444	93%	2,618	82%	2,430	886	-5%	1%	%0
Of the N 5th Grade students who scored a Level 4 on FCAT Reading	2,687	%96	2,708	%26	2,306	%26	2,180	%26	2,333	826	%0	1%	%0
Of the N 5th Grade students who scored a Level 5 on FCAT Reading	1,003	%86	896	%86	1,234	%66	1,132	%66	1,207	%86	-1%	%0	%0
	FY17		FY18	8	FY19	6	FY20		FY21	T	One-	Five-	Mean
											Year	Year	Annual
6th Grade FSA (for all students in 6th Grade)	2	%	Z	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Change (	Change	Change
Of the N 6th Grade students who scored a Level 1 on FCAT Reading	1,062	%69	1,325	74%	1,399	%92	1,217	85%	1,700	81%	-1%	12%	3%
Of the N 6th Grade students who scored a Level 2 on FCAT Reading	1,292	84%	2,312	%68	1,976	%68	2,054	%06	2,379	91%	1%	7%	7%
Of the N 6th Grade students who scored a Level 3 on FCAT Reading	2,984	87%	2,717	94%	2,861	826	2,871	826	2,243	82%	%0	3%	1%
Of the N 6th Grade students who scored a Level 4 on FCAT Reading	2,662	%26	1,890	%86	2,124	%86	2,165	%26	2,249	%86	1%	1%	%0
Of the N 6th Grade students who scored a Level 5 on FCAT Reading	1,081	%86	1,049	%66	1,086	%66	1,218	%66	873	%66	%0	1%	%0

	FY17	7	FY18	89	FY19	6]	FY20	0;	FY	FY21	One-	Five-	Mean
											Year	Year	Annual
8th Grade FSA (for all students in 8th Grade)	2	%	Z	%	N	%	2	%	2	%	Change	Change	Change
Of the N 8th Grade students who scored a Level 1 on FSA ELA	897	62%	1,252	%02	1,705	73%	1,720	%08	1,816	78%	-2%	16%	4%
Of the N 8th Grade students who scored a Level 2 on FSA ELA	2,530	%98	2,506	%88	2,261	%06	2,139	92%	2,267	92%	%0	%9	1%
Of the N 8th Grade students who scored Levels 1 and 2 on FSA ELA	3,427	%82	3,758	81%	3,966	85%	3,859	%98	4,083	82%	-1%	%/	7%
Of the N 8th Grade students who scored a Level 3 on FSA ELA	2,824	82%	2,731	%56	2,810	%96	2,709	%56	2,636	82%	%0	%0	%0
Of the N 8th Grade students who scored a Level 4 on FSA ELA	2,161	826	2,107	%86	2,057	%66	2,196	%86	2,139	%86	%0	1%	%0
Of the N 8th Grade students who scored a Level 5 on FSA ELA	1,325	%86	1,474	%66	1,349	%86	1,620	%66	1,578	%66	%0	1%	%0
Of the N 8th Grade students who scored Level 3 or above on FSA ELA	6,310	%96	6,312	%26	6,216	%26	6,525	%26	6,353	%26	%0	1%	%0
	FY17	7	FY18	89	FY19	6	FY20	0:	FY	FY21	One-	Five-	Mean
											Year	Year	Annual
Suspensions last year prior to entering 9th Grade (for all students)	2	%	2	%	2	%	N	%	2	%	Change	Change	Change
Of the N students who had 0 suspensions, % graduated	8,693	91%	9,267	93%	9,476	%86	9,750	95%	9,848	94%	-1%	3%	1%
Of the N students who had 1 suspension, % graduated	674	%9/	969	%62	670	%62	674	83%	594	%08	%8-	4%	1%
Of the N students who had 2 suspensions, % graduated	252	%29	210	%99	210	73%	509	74%	207	72%	-5%	2%	1%
Of the N students who had 2 or more suspensions, % graduated	515	29%	462	61%	448	29%	424	64%	362	93%	-1%	4%	1%
Of the N students who had 3 or more suspensions, % graduated	263	23%	252	21%	238	%05	215	21%	155	54%	-3%	1%	%0
	FY17	7	FY18	∞.	FY19	6	FY20	0	FY21	21	One-	Five-	Mean
											Year	Year	Annual
Absences for 8th Grade Students	Ν	%	2	%	<b>7</b>	%	Z	%	2	%	Change	Change	Change
Of the N students who had 0-5 days absences	7,059	91%	7,721	95%	8,034	95%	8,150	94%	7,650	93%	-1%	7%	1%
Of the N students who had 0-10 days absences	8,763	%06	9,407	91%	9,654	%76	9,776	886	9,587	93%	%0	3%	1%
Of the N students who had 11-20 days absences	964	79%	910	81%	815	%08	848	84%	1,073	%98	7%	7%	7%
Of the N students who had 21 or more days absences	254	24%	176	23%	182	%95	265	%89	259	%69	1%	15%	4%
	FY17	7	FY18	<b>∞</b>	FY19	6	FY20	0:	FY21	2.1	-auo	Five-	Mean
		ì		,		è		ò		,	Year	Year	Annual
9th Grade GPA	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Change	Change	Change
Of the N students who had a GPA of <2.0 in 9th grade, % graduated	1,137	899	1,198	29%	1,148	%95	1,185	61%	1,047	%09	-1%	4%	1%
Of the N students who had a GPA between 2.0 and 2.9 in 9th grade, % graduated	3,827	%88	3,804	%06	3,784	%68	3,786	95%	4,263	93%	1%	2%	1%
Of the N students who had a GPA of ≥2.0 in 9th grade, % graduated	9,834	93%	10,358	%56	10,626	%56	10,776	%96	10,897	%26	1%	3%	1%
Of the N students who had a GPA of ≥3.0 in 9th grade, % graduated	6,007	82%	6,554	%86	6,842	%66	066'9	%66	6,634	%66	%0	7%	%0
	FY17	7	FY18	8	FY19	6	FY20	0	FY21	21	One-	Five-	Mean
											Year	Year	Annual
AVID	2	%	N	%	2	%	N	%	Ν	%	Change	Change	Change
Of the N students who did not participate in AVID, % graduated	11,777	85%	12,310	87%	12,488	81%	12,476	%06	13,339	91%	1%	%9	1%
Of the N students who participated in AVID for 1 year, % graduated	157	%68	131	95%	304	%88	402	91%	488	%68	-2%	%0	%0
Of the N students who participated in AVID for 2 years, % graduated	137	91%	232	95%	166	95%	183	%96	188	95%	-1%	4%	1%
Of the N students who participated in AVID for 3 or more years, % graduated	308	%96	387	%16	344	%66	408	%66	410	%86	-1%	7%	1%

	FY17	1	FY18	8	FY19	6	FY20		FYZL		One-	Five-	Mean
AVID Enrollment by Grade**	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Year Change	Year Change	Annual Change
Grade 9, % graduated	121	95%	109	92%	155	%68	207	%68	233	100%	11%	%8	2%
Grade 10, % graduated	73	%98	124	91%	81	%98	129	91%	99	100%	%6	14%	4%
Grade 11, % graduated	22	%68	348	%26	35	%88	28	%06	33	100%	10%	11%	3%
Grade 12, % graduated	229	826	4	100%	33	100%	38	%26	23	%28	-10%	-10%	-3%
	FY17	<u> </u>	FY18	8]	FY19	6	FY20		FY21		One-	Five-	Mean
Graduate of Charter vs. Non-Charter	N	%	N	%	N	%	ν	* %	2	%	Year Change	Year Change	Annual Change
Of the N students in District-Operated Schools Grad Cohort, % graduated	11,541	%06	12,182	95%	12,385	95%	12,516	94%	12,060	%96	7%	%9	2%
Of the N students in Charter Schools Grad Cohort, % graduated	838	20%	879	25%	928	23%	953	21%	1,066	%85	1%	%8	2%
	FY17	1	FY18	8]	FY19	o.	FY20		FYZ1		One-	Five-	Mean
Graduate Enrolled in District Pre-K	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Year Change	Year Change	Annual Change
Of the N students who participated in District Pre-K, % graduated	877	%88	1,001	886	977	%86	1,021	%96	915	%56	-1%	1%	2%
Of the N students who did not participate in District Pre-K, % graduated	11,502	82%	12,059	87%	12,324	87%	12,448	%06	12,211	91%	1%	%9	2%

n =Counts of high school graduates

\* Most of these indicators were used by Hillsborough School District in FY14 (to predict graduation rates) which was also published in Tampa Bay Times.

\*\* These graduates who participated in AVID started from middle school (grade 7). Although students' enrollments in AVID courses started from middle schools, the students in graduation cohort could be tracked only for grades 9 through 12.

NOTE: Bolded items are commonly tracted metrics for academic progress.